1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 LEON DAVIS, JR., No. 2:20-cv-01393-TLN-AC 12 Plaintiff, 13 **ORDER** v. 14 CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CHILD SUPPORT SERVICES, 15 Defendant. 16 17 18 Plaintiff Leon Davis, Jr. ("Plaintiff"), an individual proceeding pro se, filed the above-19 entitled action. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to Local 20 Rule 302(c)(21). 21 On August 26, 2020, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein 22 which were served on Plaintiff and which contained notice to Plaintiff that any objections to the 23 findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty-one days. (ECF No. 6.) Plaintiff 24 has not filed objections to the findings and recommendations. 25 Accordingly, the Court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. See Orand v. 26 United States, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are 27 reviewed de novo. See Britt v. Simi Valley Unified School Dist., 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir. 28 1983); see also 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). 1

1	Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the Court finds the findings and
2	recommendations to be supported by the record and by the magistrate judge's analysis.
3	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
4	1. The findings and recommendations filed August 26, 2020 (ECF No. 6), are ADOPTED
5	IN FULL;
6	2. The pending motion (ECF No. 5) is DENIED as moot; and
7	3. This case is DISMISSED for lack of subject matter jurisdiction.
8	IT IS SO ORDERED.
9	DATED: October 6, 2020
10	
11	my Thinky
12	Troy L. Nunley
13	United States District Judge
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	