1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 Case No. 2:20-cv-01496 DAD DB P TRAVELL C. HOLMES, 12 Plaintiff, ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS' 13 REQUEST TO MODIFY THE SCHEDULING ORDER v. 14 15 DAVID BAUGHMAN, et al., 16 Defendants. 17 18 Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se with a civil rights action under 42 U.S.C. 19 §1983. Plaintiff alleges defendants were deliberately indifferent to his medical needs when they 20 required him to use stairs despite having a "no stairs chrono" and he fell injuring his knee. On 21 February 8, 2024, this court set a 60-day deadline for dispositive motions. (ECF No. 95.) This 22 court later granted defendants' request for an extension of that deadline. (ECF No. 97.) On June 23 4, 2024, defendants filed a timely motion for summary judgment. (ECF No. 99.) In that motion, 24 defendants argue that this action is untimely and plaintiff is not entitled to equitable tolling of the 25 statute of limitations. 26 Defendants also move for an order which would permit them to file a second, merits-based

motion for summary judgment if the court denies the current motion. This court agrees with

27

28

defendants that litigating the statute of limitations issue first, and addressing the merits later if necessary, is the most efficient and cost-effective way to proceed. Accordingly, and good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 1. Defendants' motion to modify the scheduling order (ECF No. 98) is granted; 2. The June 4, 2024 deadline for filing dispositive merits-based motions is lifted. If the court denies defendants' pending summary judgment motion, defendants may file a merits-based summary judgment motion within 60 days of the final decision on their pending summary judgment motion. Dated: June 5, 2024 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE DLB:9 DB prisoner inbox/civil rights/R/holm1496.am sch or