Rule 59(e) motions in the habeas context only when the motion raises entirely new claims).

28

1 Moore et al., Moore's Federal Practice § 59.30[4] (3d ed. 2000)). A motion to amend or alter 2 3 4 5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12 13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20 21

23

22

24 25

26

27

28

judgment should be granted only where a court "is presented with newly discovered evidence, committed clear error, or if there is an intervening change in the controlling law." McDowell v. Calderon, 197 F.3d 1253, 1255 (9th Cir. 1999) (en banc) (quoting 389 Orange St. Partners v. Arnold, 179 F.3d 656, 665 (9th Cir. 1999)).

Here, Judge Hollows found that petitioner had failed to exhaust her claims and had not shown good cause for a stay.² ECF No. 31 at 2-3. Judge Mendez agreed and adopted Judge Hollows' recommendations. ECF No. 36. Petitioner's motions for reconsideration do not offer any legal argument attacking those findings. Instead, she argues that she is ignorant of the law and that circumstances at her prison, including non-delivery of mail, made it difficult to make timely filings. ECF No. 39 at 2. The record shows that Judge Hollows weighed similar arguments in his recommendations denying the stay. ECF No. 31 at 2. Petitioner has not presented any new evidence by which a motion for reconsideration could be granted.

Petitioner also requests that counsel be appointed for her. ECF No. 38 at 2. In denying the motions for reconsideration, I necessarily recommend denying petitioner's request for appointment of counsel.

For the foregoing reasons, I recommend that petitioner's motion to reopen the case, ECF No. 38, and motion for court order, ECF No. 39, be denied.

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the U.S. district judge presiding over the case under 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 304. Within fourteen days of the service of the findings and recommendations, the parties may file written objections to the findings and recommendations with the court and serve a copy on all parties. That document must be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." The presiding district judge will then review the findings and recommendations under 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C).

² Judge Hollows was assigned to this case until December 16, 2021, when I was assigned to the case. ECF No. 35.

1	IT IS SO ORDERED	
2		JEREMY D. PETERSON UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
3	Dated: May 23, 2022	
4	UNITED STATES	
5	5	
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
1516		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		