1 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 6 7 8 CAHUILLA BAND OF INDIANS, a CASE NO. 1:19-CV-0024 AWI SKO federally recognized Indian Tribe, CASE NO. 1:20-CV-1147 DAD SKO 9 CASE NO. 2:20-CV-1585 KJM AC **Plaintiff** CASE NO. 2:20-CV-1630 MCE AC 10 ORDER RELATING AND 11 REASSIGNING CASES STATE OF CALIFORNIA, and GAVIN 12 NEWSOM IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS GOVERNOR OF 13 CALIFORNIA, 14 **Defendants** 15 16 On January 4, 2019, the Chicken Ranch Rancheria of Me-Wuk Indians, Blue Lake 17 Rancheria, Chemehuevi Indian Tribe, Hopland Band of Pomo Indians, and Robinson Rancheria 18 ("2019 Tribes") filed suit against the State of California and Governor Edmund Brown, Jr. 19 ("California"), E.D. Cal. Case No. 1:19-cv-0024. The 2019 Tribes assert that California violated 20 the federal Indian Gaming Regulatory Act by failing to engage in good faith negotiations with the 21 2019 Tribes concerning renewal/extensions of class III gaming compacts. 22 The 2019 Tribes were part of a larger group of federally recognized California Indian 23 Tribes (whose gaming compacts expire on December 31, 2020 with automatic extension to June 24 30, 2022 unless a further compact is agreed to) called the Compact Tribes Steering Committee

25

26

27

28

The CTSC continued to negotiate with California until July 2020. Other members of the

renew/extend their gaming compacts. The negotiations did not result in a successful agreement.

("CTSC") formed in 2014. The CTSC sought to negotiate with California as a group to

Certain Tribes withdrew from the negotiations in January 2019 and filed suit.

8 | Ti 9 | 10 | ha

1213

11

14 15

16

17 18

19

21

22

23

20

2425

2627

28 ///

///

CTSC have now also filed suit against California. The Soboba Band of Luiseño Indians filed E.D. Cal. Case No. 1:20-cv-1147. The Cachil Dehe Band of Wintun Indians of the Colusa Indian Community filed E.D. Cal. 2:20-cv-1585. The Cahuilla Band of Indians filed E.D. Cal. Case No. 2:20-cv-1630. In the three new suits, the plaintiffs ("2020 Tribes") also allege that California violated the federal Indian Gaming Regulatory Act by failing to engage in good faith negotiations. There is significant overlap in the subject matter of all the suits as the 2019 and 2020 Tribes were all members of the CTSC which negotiated as a group with California for several years. The 2020 Tribes have filed notices of related cases, seeking relation of all four cases.

Local Rules state that "If the Judge to whom the action with the lower or lowest number has been assigned determines that assignment of the actions to a single Judge is likely to effect a savings of judicial effort or other economies, that Judge is authorized to enter an order reassigning all higher numbered related actions to himself or herself." Local Rule 123(c). To guide this determination, the Local Rules also provide that:

An action is related to another action within the meaning of this Rule when

- (1) both actions involve the same parties and are based on the same or a similar claim;
- (2) both actions involve the same property, transaction, or event;
- (3) both actions involve similar questions of fact and the same question of law and their assignment to the same Judge or Magistrate Judge is likely to effect a substantial savings of judicial effort, either because the same result should follow in both actions or otherwise; or
- (4) for any other reasons, it would entail substantial duplication of labor if the actions were heard by different Judges or Magistrate Judges.

Local Rule 123(a). Here, the four cases appear to, in part, involve the same transaction or event and involve similar questions of fact and law; assignment to the same judge is likely to effect a substantial savings of judicial effort. Local Rule 123(a)(2), (3), and (4). Because the undersigned is assigned the lowest numbered related case, the above-entitled cases will be reassigned to the dockets of Senior District Judge Anthony Ishii and referred to Magistrate Judge Sheila K. Oberto.

SENIOR DISTRICT JUDGE