	Case 2:20-cv-01591-KJM-DMC Docume	ent 9 Filed 07/23/21 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	MICHAEL SLAY,	No. 2:20-CV-1591-KJM-DMC-P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	V.	ORDER
14	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFONRIA, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17		
18 19	Plaintiff, a prisoner proceeding pro se, brings this civil rights action under	
19 20	42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge as provided by Eastern District of California local rules.	
20 21	On May 27, 2021, the Magistrate Judge filed findings and recommendations,	
21	which were served on the parties and which contained notice that the parties may file objections	
22	within the time specified therein. No objections to the findings and recommendations have been	
24	filed.	
25	The court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. See Orand v. United	
26	States, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are	
27	reviewed de novo. See Robbins v. Carey, 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007) ("[D]eterminations	
28	of law by the magistrate judge are reviewed de novo by both the district court and [the appellate]	
		1

	Case 2:20-cv-01591-KJM-DMC Document 9 Filed 07/23/21 Page 2 of 2	
1	court "). Having reviewed the file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be	
2	supported by the record and by the proper analysis.	
3	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
4	1. The findings and recommendations filed May 27, 2021, are adopted in full;	
5	2. This action is dismissed without prejudice for lack of prosecution and	
6	failure to comply with court rules and orders; and	
7	3. The Clerk of the Court is directed to enter judgment and close this file.	
8	DATED: July 23, 2021.	
9	100 a a a	
10	CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
11	CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	2	