

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
10

11 JEREMY NICHOLSON-CHILTON,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 UNKNOWN,

15 Defendant.  
16

No. 2:20-cv-1624 AC P

ORDER AND FINDINGS AND  
RECOMMENDATIONS

17 By an order filed August 18, 2020, plaintiff was ordered to file a complaint and a  
18 completed in forma pauperis affidavit, and was cautioned that failure to do so would result in a  
19 recommendation that this action be dismissed. The thirty-day period has now expired, and  
20 plaintiff has not responded to the court's order and has not filed the required documents.

21 In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court is  
22 directed to assign a district judge to this case; and

23 IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice.

24 These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge  
25 assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days  
26 after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections  
27 with the court. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings  
28 and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified

time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

DATED: December 1, 2020

  
ALLISON CLAIRE  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE