1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	BEVERLY WHITAKER,	No. 2:20-cv-01668-KJM-AC
12	Plaintiff,	New Case No. 1:20-cv-01668-DAD-BAM
13	v.	ORDER RELATING AND REASSIGNING CASES
14	C.R. BARD INC., et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	TOM LEONHART,	No. 2:20-cv-01677-MCE-KJN
18	Plaintiff,	New Case No. 1:20-cv-01677-DAD-BAM
19	v.	
20	C.R. BARD INC., et al.,	
21	Defendants.	
22		
23	A review of the complaints in the above-captioned cases reveals that they are related	
24	under this court's Local Rule 123(a) to the following related actions pending before the	
25	undersigned: Broocks v. CR Bard Inc., 1:19-cv-01228-DAD-BAM; Denzer v. CR Bard Inc.,	
26	1:19-cv-01236-DAD-BAM; Reynolds v. C R Bard Inc., 1:19-cv-01238-DAD-BAM; Boyer v. C R	
27	Bard Inc., 1:19-cv-01243-DAD-BAM; Gonzalez v. C R Bard Inc., 1:19-cv-01316-DAD-BAM;	
28	Karpenko v. C R Bard Inc., 1:19-cv-01317-DAD-BAM; Cook v. C R Bard Inc., 1:19-cv-01498-	

1	DAD-BAM; LaLonde v. C R Bard Inc., 1:19-cv-01516-DAD-BAM; Baker v. C.R. Bard, Inc.,		
2	1:20-cv-00277-DAD-BAM; Cronan v. C.R. Bard, Inc., 2:15-cv-01418-DAD-BAM; Wilson v.		
3	C.R. Bard, Inc., 1:20-cv-00436-DAD-BAM; Bradburn v. C.R. Bard, Inc., 1:20-cv-00437-DAD-		
4	BAM; Camarena v. C.R. Bard, Inc., 1:20-cv-00441-DAD-BAM; Butler v. C.R. Bard, Inc., 1:20-		
5	cv-00442-DAD-BAM; <i>Molina v. C.R. Bard, Inc.</i> , 1:20-cv-00443-DAD-BAM; <i>Bond v. C.R. Bard</i> ,		
6	Inc., 1:20-cv-00444-DAD-BAM; Krieger v. C.R. Bard, Inc., 1:20-cv-00445-DAD-BAM; Licea v.		
7	C.R. Bard, Inc., 2:20-cv-00644-DAD-BAM; and Turner v. C.R. Bard, Inc., 2:20-cv-00645-DAD-		
8	BAM. Accordingly, assignment of the above-captioned actions to the undersigned and to		
9	Magistrate Judge Barbara A. McAuliffe will promote efficiency and economy for the court and		
10	the parties.		
11	An order relating cases under this court's Local Rule 123 merely assigns them to the same		
12	district judge and magistrate judge—it does not consolidate the cases. The local rules of this		
13	district authorize the judge with the lowest numbered case to order the reassignment of any higher		
14	numbered cases to himself or herself, upon determining that this assignment is likely to effect a		
15	savings of judicial effort. L.R. 123(c). Such good cause appearing here, the court orders that		
16	the two (2) above-captioned actions be reassigned to the undersigned and Magistrate Judge		
17	Barbara A. McAuliffe and that they be related to each of the nineteen (19) already related		
18	<u>actions listed above</u> . The documents in the above-captioned actions shall bear the new case		
19	numbers above.		
20	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
21	Dated: September 4, 2020 Dale A. Day		
22	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		
23			
24			
25			
26			