l		
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	SHAWN EDWARD COOKS,	No. 2:20-cv-01780-DAD-KJN (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND
14	STATE OF CALIFORNIA	RECOMMENDATIONS AND GRANTING IN PART DEFENDANT CDCR'S MOTION FOR
15	DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND REHABILITATION, et al.,	JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS
16	Defendants.	(Doc. Nos. 20, 28)
17		
18	Plaintiff Shawn Edward Cooks is a state prisoner seeking relief in this civil rights action	
19	filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge	
20	pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
21	On June 10, 2022, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations,	
22	recommending that the motion for judgment on the pleadings (Doc. No. 20) filed on behalf of	
23	defendant California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitations ("CDCR") be granted in part	
24	and that plaintiff's causes of action brought against defendant CDCR be dismissed. (Doc. No.	
25	28.) The findings and recommendations were served on all parties and contained notice that any	
26	objections thereto were to be filed within fourteen (14) days of service. (Id. at 10-11.) No	
27	objections have been filed and the time to do so has since passed.	
28	/////	
		1

1	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(C), this court has conducted a	
2	de novo review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, court finds the findings and	
3	recommendations to be supported by the record and proper analysis.	
4	Accordingly,	
5	1. The findings and recommendations issued on June 10, 2022 (Doc. No. 28) are	
6	adopted in full;	
7	2. Defendant CDCR's motion for judgment on the pleadings (Doc. No. 20) is granted	
8	in part as follows:	
9	a. Plaintiff's first cause of action as to defendant CDCR is dismissed without	
10	prejudice;	
11	b. Plaintiff's second, third and fourth causes of action as to defendant CDCR	
12	are dismissed with prejudice; and	
13	3. The matter is referred back to the assigned magistrate judge for further	
14	proceedings.	
15	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
16	Dated: September 6, 2022 Dale A. Drozd	
17	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	2	