

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DAVID PERRYMAN,  
  
                                Plaintiff,  
  
                    v.  
  
CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF  
CORRECTIONS AND  
REHABILITATION, et al.,  
  
                                Defendants.

No. 2:20-cv-1943 KJN P

ORDER AND FINDINGS AND  
RECOMMENDATIONS

By an order filed October 1, 2020, plaintiff was ordered to file a properly completed in forma pauperis affidavit or submit the required court filing fees, and was cautioned that failure to do so would result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed. The thirty-day period has now expired, and plaintiff has not responded to the court’s order and has not filed the required documents.


In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court is directed to assign a district judge to this case; and

IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice.

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections

1 with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned  
2 “Objections to Magistrate Judge’s Findings and Recommendations.” Plaintiff is advised that  
3 failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District  
4 Court’s order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

5 Dated: November 17, 2020

6   
7 \_\_\_\_\_  
8 KENDALL J. NEWMAN  
9 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

10 /perr1943.fifp

11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28