1		
1		
2		
3 4		
4 5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10	MELVIN STONUM,	No. 2:20-cv-1992-EFB P
11	Plaintiff,	
12	V.	ORDER AND FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
13	SUSAN PEERY,	
14	Defendant.	
15		
16	Plaintiff, a state prisoner, proceeds without counsel in an action brought under 42 U.S.C.	
17	§ 1983. This proceeding was referred to this court by Local Rule 302 pursuant to 28 U.S.C.	
18	§ 636(b)(1).	
19	On October 16, 2020, the court screened plaintiff's complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C.	
20	§ 1915A. ECF No. 7. The court dismissed the complaint, explained the deficiencies therein, and	
21	granted plaintiff thirty days in which to file an amended complaint to cure the deficiencies. Id.	
22	The screening order warned plaintiff that failure to comply would result in a recommendation that	
23	this action be dismissed. Plaintiff filed numerous "exhibits" following the court's order (ECF	
24	Nos. 10-13), but plaintiff failed to file an amended complaint. Accordingly, on November 23,	
25	2020, the court granted plaintiff one final extension of time to comply with the October 16 order	
26	and file an amended complaint. The time for acting has now passed and plaintiff has not filed an	
27	amended complaint. Thus, it appears that plaintiff is unable or unwilling to cure the defects in the	
28	complaint.	
		1

1	Accordingly, it is ORDERED that the Clerk is directed to randomly assign a United States
2	District Judge to this action.

Further, it is RECOMMENDED that this action be DISMISSED without prejudice for the
reasons set forth in the October 16, 2020 screening order (ECF No. 7).

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Any response to the objections shall be served and filed within fourteen days after service of the objections. The parties are advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Turner v. Duncan, 158 F.3d 449, 455 (9th Cir. 1998); Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). Rib im Dated: December 21, 2020. EDMUND F. BRENNAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE