

1 otherwise responded to the court's order.¹ Thus, it appears that plaintiff is unable or unwilling to
2 cure the defects in the complaint.

3 Accordingly, it is ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court randomly assign a United States
4 District Judge to this action.

5 Further, it is RECOMMENDED that this action be DISMISSED without prejudice for the
6 reasons set forth in the February 4, 2021 screening order (ECF No. 22).

7 These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge
8 assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days
9 after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written
10 objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned
11 "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Any response to the
12 objections shall be served and filed within fourteen days after service of the objections. The
13 parties are advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to
14 appeal the District Court's order. *Turner v. Duncan*, 158 F.3d 449, 455 (9th Cir. 1998); *Martinez*
15 *v. Ylst*, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

16 Dated: April 27, 2021.

17 
18 EDMUND F. BRENNAN
19 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
20
21
22
23
24

25 ¹ On April 9, 2021, plaintiff submitted a letter for filing in three separate cases he is
26 litigating, including this one. ECF No. 29. It does not reference any matter specific to this case,
27 such as the February 4, 2021 screening order or the March 4, 2021 order granting him an
28 extension of time to file an amended complaint. Plaintiff states that his letter is "not a motion,"
but that he "will be filing some time this week." ECF No. 29 at 4. To date, plaintiff has not filed
anything else in this case.