

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

WALTER DANNY CEASAR, III.,
Petitioner,
v.
PATRICK COVELLO,
Respondent.

No. 2:20-cv-2140 KJN P

ORDER AND FINDINGS AND
RECOMMENDATIONS

Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, filed a petition for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Petitioner paid the filing fee.

The court’s records reveal that petitioner previously filed an application for a writ of habeas corpus attacking the 2006 conviction and sentence challenged in this case. The previous application¹ was filed on February 16, 2010, and was dismissed as barred by the one-year AEDPA statute of limitations. See McNabb v. Yates, 576 F.3d 1028, 1030 (9th Cir. 2009) (dismissal on statute of limitations grounds constitutes disposition on the merits rendering subsequent petition “second or successive”). Before petitioner can proceed with the instant application, he must move in the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit for an order authorizing the district court to consider the application. 28 U.S.C. § 2244(b)(3). Therefore,

¹ Cesar v. Allison, No. 2:10-cv-0392 JAM GGH (E.D. Cal.).


1 petitioner's application must be dismissed without prejudice to its re-filing upon obtaining
2 authorization from the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit.

3 In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court is
4 directed to assign a district judge to this case; and

5 IT IS RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice.

6 These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge
7 assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days
8 after being served with these findings and recommendations, petitioner may file written
9 objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's
10 Findings and Recommendations." Petitioner is advised that failure to file objections within the
11 specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951
12 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

13 Dated: November 30, 2020

14 
15 _____
16 KENDALL J. NEWMAN
17 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

18 /ceas2140.succ
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28