

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

WAYNE DESHOWN PERKINS,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
NAY AUNG, et al.,  
Defendants.

No. 2:20-cv-02169-JAM-JDP (PC)

ORDER

Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

On April 13, 2022, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein which were served on plaintiff and which contained notice to plaintiff that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Plaintiff has not filed objections to the findings and recommendations.

The court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. *See Orand v. United States*, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge’s conclusions of law are reviewed de novo. *See Robbins v. Carey*, 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007) (“[D]eterminations of law by the magistrate judge are reviewed de novo by both the district court and [the appellate] court . . .”). Having reviewed the file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by

1 the record and by the proper analysis.

2 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

3 1. The findings and recommendations filed April 13, 2022, are adopted in full;

4 2. This action is dismissed for failure to prosecute, failure to comply with court orders,  
5 and failure to state a claim for the reasons set forth in the February 24, 2021 order; and

6 3. The Clerk of Court is directed to close the case.

7

8

9 Dated: May 11, 2022

/s/ John A. Mendez

---

10 THE HONORABLE JOHN A. MENDEZ  
11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28