1		
1		
2 3		
3 4		
+ 5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8		
9	STEVEN GILMORE,	No. 2:20-cv-2236 DB P
10	Petitioner,	
11	v.	ORDER
12	RICK HILL, Warden,	
13	Respondent.	
14		
15	Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed an application for a writ of habeas	
16	corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254, together with a request to proceed in forma pauperis.	
17	The application attacks a 2001 conviction issued by the San Diego County Superior Court.	
18	(ECF No. 1 at 1.) While both this court and the United States District Court in the district where	
19	petitioner was convicted have jurisdiction, see Braden v. 30th Judicial Circuit Court, 410 U.S.	
20	484 (1973), any and all witnesses and evidence necessary for the resolution of petitioner's	
21	application are more readily available in San D	Diego County. <u>Id.</u> at 499 n.15; 28 U.S.C. § 2241(d).
22	////	
23	////	
24	////	
25	////	
26	////	
27	////	
28	////	

1	Accordingly, in the furtherance of justice, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
2	1. This court has not ruled on petitioner's application to proceed in forma pauperis; and	
3	2. This matter is transferred to the United States District Court for the Southern District of	
4	California.	
5	Dated: November 16, 2020	
6	Internet	
7	futurens	
8	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13	DB:12	
14	DB:1/Orders/Prisoner/Habeas/gilm2236.108	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	2	