1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	ANTONIO EL,	No. 2:20-cv-2249-TLN-KJN
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER
13	V.	
14	STATE OF CALIFORNIA, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17		
18	Plaintiff Antonio El ("Plaintiff"), proceeding pro se, has filed this civil action. (ECF No.	
19	1.) The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §	
20	636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
21	On November 16, 2020, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations which	
22	were served on Plaintiff and which contained notice to Plaintiff that any objections to the findings	
23	and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen (14) days. (ECF No. 3.) On November	
24	30, 2020, Plaintiff filed an affidavit (ECF No. 4), which appears to be a supplement to the	
25	Complaint in light of the fact that the Findings and Recommendations served on Plaintiff were	
26	returned as undeliverable. No objections or other documents have been filed by Plaintiff.	
27	Accordingly, the Court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. See Orand v.	
28	United States, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 19	79). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are
		1

1	reviewed de novo. See Britt v. Simi Valley Unified School Dist., 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir.	
2	1983); see also 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).	
3	Having reviewed the file under the applicable legal standards, the Court finds the Findings	
4	and Recommendations to be supported by the record and by the magistrate judge's analysis.	
5	Although it appears from the file that Plaintiff's copy of the Findings and	
6	Recommendations was returned, Plaintiff was properly served. It is Plaintiff's responsibility to	
7	keep the Court apprised of his current address at all times. Pursuant to Local Rule 182(f), service	
8	of documents at the record address of the party is fully effective.	
9	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
10	1. The Findings and Recommendations filed on November 16, 2020 (ECF No. 3), are	
11	adopted in full;	
12	2. The action is DISMISSED with prejudice; and	
13	3. The Clerk of Court is directed to close this case.	
14	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
15	DATED: December 17, 2020	
16 17		
18	Troy L. Nunley	
19	United States District Judge	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	2	
1		