

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MARIO AMADOR GONZALEZ,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
TANCRETO, et al.,  
Defendants.

No. 2:20-cv-2287 KJM AC P

ORDER

Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge as provided by 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

On February 22, 2021, the magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations, which were served on plaintiff and which contained notice to plaintiff that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty-one days. *See* ECF No. 13. Plaintiff has not filed objections to the findings and recommendations.

The court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. *See Orand v. United States*, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge’s conclusions of law are reviewed de novo. *See Robbins v. Carey*, 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007) (“[D]eterminations of law by the magistrate judge are reviewed de novo by both the district court and [the appellate] court

////

1 . . .”). Having reviewed the file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be  
2 supported by the record and by the proper analysis.

3 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:


4 1. The findings and recommendations issued February 22, 2021 (ECF No. 13), are  
5 ADOPTED in full;

6 2. Plaintiff’s motion to stay these proceedings (ECF No. 12) is DENIED;

7 3. This case is SUMMARILY DISMISSED for failure to exhaust. *See Jones v. Bock*, 549  
8 U.S. 199, 214-15 (2007); and

9 4. The clerk of court is directed to close this case.

10 DATED: April 29, 2021.

11  
12   
13 \_\_\_\_\_  
14 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28