1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	JONATHAN WILBANKS,	No. 2:21-cv-0026 KJM KJN P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	T. TAPPEN, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, filed this civil rights action seeking relief	
18	under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge as provided	
19	by 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
20	On March 10, 2022, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations, which were	
21	served on plaintiff and which contained notice to plaintiff that any objections to the findings and	
22	recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Plaintiff did not file objections to the	
23	findings and recommendations.	
24	The court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. See Orand v. United States,	
25	602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed	
26	de novo. See Robbins v. Carey, 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007) ("[D]eterminations of law	
27	by the magistrate judge are reviewed de novo by both the district court and [the appellate] court	
28	/////	
		1

1	$\ldots$ ."). Having reviewed the file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be	
2	supported by the record and by the proper analysis.	
3	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
4	1. The findings and recommendations filed March 10, 2022, are adopted in full;	
5	2. Plaintiff's putative claims against Dr. Yee are dismissed without prejudice; and	
6	3. This matter is referred back to the assigned magistrate judge for all further pretrial	
7	proceedings.	
8	DATED: May 23, 2022.	
9 10	nAnnel.	
11	CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	2	