

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

LAITH FATHI SIKTA,
Plaintiff,
v.
COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO, et al.,
Defendants.


No. 2:21-cv-0238-EFB P

ORDER

Plaintiff is a county jail inmate proceeding without counsel in an action brought under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The operative complaint is nearly identical to a complaint used to commence a separate action. *See Sitka v. County of Sacramento*, No. 2:21-cv-0236-DMC (E.D. Cal.). The only difference is that the complaint filed in this action appears to include a page missing from the original complaint. *See* ECF No. 1 at 2. This action is therefore redundant.

Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that the Clerk is directed to file the instant complaint (ECF No. 1) in the case of *Sitka v. County of Sacramento*, No. 2:21-cv-0236-DMC (E.D. Cal.) as an amended complaint, along with plaintiff's in forma pauperis application (ECF No. 2). The Clerk is also directed to CLOSE case number 2:21-cv-0238-EFB P.

DATED: February 18, 2021.


EDMUND F. BRENNAN
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE