1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	HUNG M NGUYEN,	No. 2:21-cv-00239-TLN-KJN
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER
13	v.	
14	YOLO COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE,	
15	Defendant.	
16		
17		
18	Plaintiff Hung M. Nguyen ("Plaintiff") is proceeding in this action pro se. The matter was	
19	referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule	
20	302(c)(21).	
21	On March 11, 2021, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations, which were	
22	served on the parties and which contained notice that any objections to the findings and	
23	recommendations were to be filed within fourteen (14) days. (ECF No. 4.) On March 17, 2021,	
24	Plaintiff filed Objections to the Findings and Recommendations (ECF No. 5), which have been	
25	considered by the Court.	
26	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 304(f), this	
27	Court has conducted a de novo review of this case. See McDonnell Douglas Corp. v. Commodore	
28	Business Machines, 656 F.2d 1309, 1313 (9th	h Cir. 1981), cert. denied, 455 U.S. 920 (1982); see
		-

1	also Dawson v. Marshall, 561 F.3d 930, 932 (9th Cir. 2009). Having reviewed the file under the	
2	applicable legal standards, the Court finds the Findings and Recommendations to be supported by	
3	the record and by the magistrate judge's analysis.	
4	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
5	1. The Findings and Recommendations (ECF No. 4) are ADOPTED IN FULL;	
6	2. Plaintiff's Complaint (ECF No. 1) is DISMISSED with prejudice; and	
7	3. The Clerk of Court is directed to close this case.	
8	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
9	DATED: April 13, 2021	
10		
11	1 7 June	
12	My - mund	
13	Troy L. Nunley United States District Judge	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	2	