

1 ANDREW E. BAKOS, SBN 151250
 2 ANDREW E. BAKOS & ASSOCIATES, P.C.
 3 1545 River Park Drive, Suite 205
 4 Sacramento, California 95815
 5 Tel: (916) 649-0208
 6 Fax: (916) 649-0941
 7 Aebakos@Bakoslaw.com

8 DENNIS B. HILL, SBN 218131
 9 D.B. HILL, A PROFESSIONAL LAW CORPORATION
 640 Fifth Street, Suite 200
 6 Lincoln, California 95815
 7 Tel: (916) 434-2553
 8 Fax: (916) 434-2560
 9 Dennis@DbhillLaw.com

10 Attorneys for Plaintiffs CANNON HUGH DANIELS,
 11 ARIELE ROSTAMO aka ARIELE NELSON, SUSAN
 12 ADELL DANIELS, and JOSEPH ALBERT DANIELS, IV

11 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
 12 **EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

13 CANNON HUGH DANIELS, deceased;
 14 decedent's wife, ARIELE ROSTAMO aka
 15 ARIELE NELSON; decedent's mother,
 16 SUSAN ADELL DANIELS; and decedent's
 17 father, JOSEPH ALBERT DANIELS, IV,
 18 individually,

Case No.: 2:21-cv-0277 JAM-JDP

**CORRECTED JOINT STIPULATION AND
 ORDER**

19 Plaintiff,

20 vs.

21 CALIFORNIA FORENSIC MEDICAL
 22 GROUP, INC., WELLPATH
 23 MANAGEMENT, INC., BUTTE COUNTY,
 24 S. Parker, D. Brownfield, Sergeant Turner,
 25 Sergeant Behlke, Deputy Darnell, Deputy
 26 Smith, Deputy Yee, Deputy Bazan, Deputy
 27 Thornton, Sergeant Mell, Deputy Lazurenko,
 28 Deputy LaRue, Deputy Ogden, Deputy
 Mayfield, Deputy Davis, Deputy Tauscher and
 Lt. Jarrod Agurkis, SACRAMENTO
 COUNTY, Officer McKersie, Sergeant Bunn,
 Sergeant Jenkins, Officer Rickett, Lieutenant
 Hodgkins, Officer Gailey, Officer Tallman,
 Officer Pomosson, and Officer Folena
 Defendants. /

Complaint Filed: 02/11/2021

1 The parties hereby submit this corrected JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED ORDER] to
2 correct a clerical error in the previous stipulation and scheduling order filed on March 28, 2022. The previous
3 stipulation and proposed order erroneously set forth a request to dismiss individual defendants with prejudice,
4 which was meant by all parties to be “without” prejudice. Now, therefore, all the parties submit the following
5 stipulation and request for order to correct and replace that filed on March 28, 2022, with the only change
6 being to change “*with prejudice*” to “*without prejudice*” - - all changes set forth in bold italics - - where
7 applicable, as follows:

8
9 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between the parties through their counsel of record:

- 10 1. On or about February 26, 2020, Plaintiffs filed an action, Case No. 2:20-cv-00445-JAM-EFB
11 (hereinafter “Daniels I”).
- 12 2. On or about February 11, 2021, Plaintiffs filed the instant action, Case No. 2:21:cv-MCE-CKD
13 (hereinafter “Daniels II”).
- 14 3. The actions referenced in paragraphs 1 and 2 above arise out of the same factual circumstances.
- 15 4. On or about October 12, 2021, in Daniels II, the parties filed a “Joint Stipulation of Dismissal and
16 Order” for all of the County of Butte and County of Sacramento defendants, including the individual
17 and entity defendants. Dckt. No. 6. However, the Court never acted on the proposed order.
- 18 5. On or about February 17, 2021, Plaintiff filed a “Notice of Related Case.” Dckt. No. 7. By way of the
19 Notice, Plaintiffs informed the Court that Daniels I and Daniels II “are related in that they have
20 common issues of act and law and plaintiffs are the same for each case.” Id.
- 21 6. On or about January 3, 2022, the Court issued a “Related Case Order.” Dckt. No. 8. The Court
22 reassigned Daniels II to Judge John A. Mendez and Magistrate Judge Jeremy D. Peterson for all
23 further proceedings. Id. The Court also vacated all dates set forth in Daniels II and stated the case
24 number for Daniels II was changed to 2:21-cv-0277 JAM-JDP. Id.
- 25 7. The current deadline to disclose experts in Daniels I is this Friday, March 18, 2022.
- 26 8. To promote efficiency and to avoid potential confusion for the Court and parties, the parties agree to
27 the following:

- 1 a. Daniels I should be dismissed with prejudice¹;
- 2 b. All discovery that has occurred in Daniels I shall be able to be used in Daniels II to the same
- 3 extent that it would have been able to be used in Daniels I;
- 4 c. The parties agree to voluntarily exchange reports for their law enforcement jail policies and
- 5 practices experts on or before March 18, 2022, and that the experts may supplement their
- 6 reports based on additional discovery performed in the future to the extent each expert deems
- 7 reasonable or necessary to do so;
- 8 d. Plaintiffs withdraw the previously filed dismissal, Dckt. No. 6, discussed in paragraph 4,
- 9 above.
- 10 e. Plaintiffs request the Court dismiss the **individual** County of Butte and **individual** County of
- 11 Sacramento Defendants, including, S. Parker, D. Brownfield, Sergeant Turner, Sergeant
- 12 Behlke, Deputy Darnell, Deputy Smith, Deputy Yee, Deputy Bazan, Deputy Thornton,
- 13 Sergeant Mell, Deputy Lazurenko, Deputy LaRue, Deputy Ogden, Deputy Mayfield, Deputy
- 14 Davis, Deputy Tauscher, Lt. Jarrod Agurkis, Officer McKersie, Sergeant Bunn, Sergeant
- 15 Jenkins, Officer Rickett, Lieutenant Hodgkins, Officer Gailey, Officer Tallman, Officer
- 16 Pomosson, and Officer Folena, *without prejudice. Any further amendment of the pleadings,*
- 17 *including the joinder of additional parties, should be permitted only upon a showing of*
- 18 *good cause.*
- 19 f. The deadline for Defendants County of Sacramento and County of Butte to respond to the
- 20 complaint in Daniels II shall be within 21 days of the date of the Court's order on this instant
- 21 stipulation and proposed order.
- 22 9. Currently, there are no deadlines set in Daniels II. Dckt. No. 8. The parties request the Court issue a
- 23 scheduling order for Daniels II, including the following deadlines:
- 24 a. Initial Disclosures: April 15, 2022
- 25 b. Expert Disclosures: July 15, 2022
- 26 c. Supplemental / Rebuttal Expert Disclosures: August 15, 2022
- 27 d. Discovery: September 16, 2022
- 28

¹ A separate stipulation will be filed in Daniels I requesting the Court dismiss the case.
{02689947.DOCX}

- 1 e. Dispositive Motion filing: November 15, 2022
2 f. Dispositive Motion Hearing: January 24, 2023
3 g. Joint-Mid Litigation Statement Filing: 14 days before close of discovery (September 2, 2022)
4 h. Final Pre-Trial Conference: March 10, 2023
5 i. Jury Trial: April 17, 2023
6

7 **IT IS SO STIPULATED.**

8 Date: May 2, 2022

PORTER | SCOTT
A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

9
10
11 By /s/ William Camy (authorized 05/02/2022)
William E. Camy
12 Alison J. Southard
13 Attorneys for Butte County Defendants

14 Date: May 3, 2022

PORTER | SCOTT
A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

15
16 By /s/ Suli A. Mastorakos (authorized 05/03/2022)
17 Carl L. Fessenden
18 David R. Norton
19 Suli A. Mastorakos
Attorneys for Sacramento County Defendants

20 Date: May 3, 2022

LAW OFFICES OF JEROME M. VARANINI

21
22 By /s/ Jerome Varanini (authorized 05/03/2022)
23 Jerome M. Varanini
24 Attorney for Defendants CALIFORNIA
FORENSIC MEDICAL GROUP, INC., and
25 WELLPATH MANAGEMENT, INC
26
27
28

1 Date: May 3, 2022

ANDREW E. BAKOS & ASSOCIATES, P.C.

2
3 By /s/ Andrew Bakos (authorized 05/03/2022)

4 Andrew Bakos

Attorney for Plaintiffs

5 Date: May 4, 2022

D.B. HILL, A PROFESSIONAL LAW CORPORATION

6
7 By /s/ Dennis B. Hill

8 Dennis B. Hill

9 Attorney for Plaintiffs

10 **ORDER**

11 Pursuant to the stipulation of the parties, and good cause appearing therefore, **IT IS HEREBY**
12 **ORDERED** as follows:

13 ***Based upon all the parties' representation of the clerical error in the previous order filed on March***
14 ***28, 2022, that order is hereby revoked and corrected to state the following:***

- 15 1. All discovery that has occurred in Case No. 2:20-cv-00445-JAM-EFB shall be able to be used in
16 2:21-cv-0277 JAM-JDP to the same extent that it would have been able to be used in Case No. 2:20-
17 cv-00445-JAM-EFB;
- 18 2. The document previously filed as Dckt. No. 6 is withdrawn.
- 19 3. Defendants S. Parker, D. Brownfield, Sergeant Turner, Sergeant Behlke, Deputy Darnell, Deputy
20 Smith, Deputy Yee, Deputy Bazan, Deputy Thornton, Sergeant Mell, Deputy Lazurenko, Deputy
21 LaRue, Deputy Ogden, Deputy Mayfield, Deputy Davis, Deputy Tauscher, Lt. Jarrod Agurkis,
22 Officer McKersie, Sergeant Bunn, Sergeant Jenkins, Officer Rickett, Lieutenant Hodgkins, Officer
23 Gailey, Officer Tallman, Officer Pomosson, and Officer Folena, are hereby dismissed ***without***
24 ***prejudice. Any further amendment of the pleadings, including the joinder of additional parties,***
25 ***should be permitted only upon a showing of good cause.***
- 26 4. The deadline for Defendants County of Sacramento and County of Butte to respond to the complaint
27 in the instant action shall be within 21 days of the date of this Order.
- 28 5. The following deadlines are hereby set:

j. Initial Disclosures: April 15, 2022

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

- k. Expert Disclosures: July 15, 2022
- l. Supplemental / Rebuttal Expert Disclosures: August 15, 2022
- m. Discovery: September 16, 2022
- n. Dispositive Motion filing: November 15, 2022
- o. Dispositive Motion Hearing: January 24, 2023
- p. Joint-Mid Litigation Statement Filing: 14 days before close of discovery (September 2, 2022)
- q. Final Pre-Trial Conference: March 10, 2023, at 11:00 AM
- r. Jury Trial: April 17, 2023, at 9:00 AM

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date: May 9, 2022

/s/ John A. Mendez

THE HONORABLE JOHN A. MENDEZ
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE