(PC) Foster v. White Doc. 21 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 MARTIN LEE FOSTER, No. 2:21-cv-00388-KJM-JDP (PC) 12 Plaintiff, 13 **ORDER** v. 14 STEVE WHITE, 15 Defendant. 16 17 Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge as provided 18 19 by 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302. 20 On May 13, 2022, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations, which were 21 served on plaintiff and which contained notice to plaintiff that any objections to the findings and 22 recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. No objections were filed. 23 The court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. See Orand v. United States, 24 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed 25 de novo. See Robbins v. Carey, 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007) ("[D]eterminations of law 26 by the magistrate judge are reviewed de novo by both the district court and [the appellate] court ...."). Having reviewed the file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be 27 28 supported by the record and by the proper analysis.

1

## 

## Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. The findings and recommendations filed May 13, 2022, are adopted in full;
- 2. Plaintiff's amended complaint, ECF No. 18, is dismissed without leave to amend for failure to state a cognizable claim; and
  - 3. The Clerk of Court is directed to close this case.

DATED: September 15, 2022.

CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE