1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 SHEILA GIANELLI, No. 2:21-cv-00477-DAD-KJN (PS) 12 Plaintiff. 13 v. ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS, GRANTING 14 RONALD STEVEN SCHOENFELD, et DEFENDANTS' MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY al.. JUDGMENT AND DISMISSING ACTION 15 Defendants. (Doc. Nos. 46, 48, 57) 16 17 Plaintiff Sheila Gianelli is proceeding pro se in this civil action against defendants Ronald 18 19 Steven Schoenfeld, Debra Lee Silver, Lance Schultz, Nick Dujmovich, and Pacific Gas and Electric Company ("PG&E"). This matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge 20 21 pursuant to Local Rule 302. On August 10, 2022, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations, 22 recommending that defendants' motions to dismiss (Doc. Nos. 46, 48) be granted and that all of 23 24 plaintiff's claims be dismissed. (Doc. No. 57.) Specifically, the magistrate judge recommended that all of plaintiff's claims against defendants Dujmovich, Schoenfeld, Schultz, and Silver be 25 26 dismissed with prejudice for failure to state a claim, and that all of plaintiff's claims against 27 defendant PG&E be dismissed due to lack of subject matter jurisdiction. (Id.) The findings and

28

1 to be filed within fourteen (14) days of service. (Id. at 22–23.) No objections have been filed, 2 and the time to do so has since passed. 3 In accordance with the provisions of Local Rule 304, this court has conducted a *de novo* 4 review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the court finds the findings and 5 recommendations to be supported by the record and proper analysis. 6 Accordingly, 7 1. The findings and recommendations issued on August 10, 2022 (Doc. No. 57) are adopted in full; 8 9 2. Defendant Silver's motion to dismiss (Doc. No. 46) is granted; 10 3. Defendants Dujmovich and Schultz's motion to dismiss (Doc. No. 48) is granted; 4. All claims against defendants Dujmovich, Schoenfeld, Schultz, and Silver are 11 12 dismissed with prejudice for failure to state a claim; 13 5. All claims against defendant PG&E are dismissed due to lack of subject matter 14 jurisdiction; and The Clerk of the Court is directed to close this case. 15 6. 16 IT IS SO ORDERED. 17 Dated: September 8, 2022 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28