

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DUPREE LAMONT ADKINS,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
D. HURTADO, *et al.*,  
Defendants.


Case No. 2:21-cv-00531-TLN-JDP (PC)  
ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF’S MOTION  
FOR DISCOVERY  
ECF No. 32

Plaintiff has filed a document in which he requests that defendants produce documents and respond to interrogatories. ECF No. 32.

Discovery requests shall be filed with the court only if they are at issue. *See* E.D. Cal. L.R. 250.2-250.4. Currently, there is no court proceeding that requires review of plaintiff’s discovery requests. To the extent that plaintiff intended to serve defendants with his discovery request by filing them with the court, that approach is improper. Plaintiff must instead serve his discovery requests on defendants. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 30-36. Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED that plaintiff’s motion for discovery, ECF No. 32, is denied.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 5, 2022

  
JEREMY D. PETERSON  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28