

Case 2:21-cv-00616-KJM-DMC Document 6 Filed 08/03/21 Page 2 of 2

sanction is considered a less drastic alternative sufficient to satisfy the last factor. <u>See Malone</u>,
 833 F.2d at 132-33 & n.1. The sanction of dismissal for lack of prosecution is appropriate where
 there has been unreasonable delay. <u>See Henderson v. Duncan</u>, 779 F.2d 1421, 1423 (9th Cir.
 1986). Dismissal has also been held to be an appropriate sanction for failure to comply with an
 order to file an amended complaint. <u>See Ferdik v. Bonzelet</u>, 963 F.2d 1258, 1260-61 (9th Cir.
 1992).

Having considered these factors, and in light of Plaintiff's failure to resolve the fee
status for this case as directed, the Court finds that dismissal of this action is appropriate.

9 Based on the foregoing, the undersigned recommends that this action be dismissed,
10 without prejudice, for lack of prosecution and failure to comply with court rules and orders.

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District
Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within 14 days
after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written
objections with the court. Responses to objections shall be filed within 14 days after service of

objections. Failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal. <u>See</u>
 <u>Martinez v. Ylst</u>, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

18 Dated: August 3, 2021

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Sano

DENNIS M. COTA UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE