

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
10

11 YOHAN CROSS,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 JOHN-JANE DOE #1, et al.,

15 Defendants.  
16

No. 2:21-cv-00693-JAM-JDP (PC)

ORDER

17 Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action seeking relief  
18 under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to  
19 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

20 On November 8, 2021, 2021, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations  
21 herein which were served on plaintiff and which contained notice to plaintiff that any objections  
22 to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Plaintiff has not filed  
23 objections to the findings and recommendations.

24 The court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. *See Orand v. United States*, 602  
25 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed de novo.  
26 *See Robbins v. Carey*, 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007) (“[D]eterminations of law by the  
27 magistrate judge are reviewed de novo by both the district court and [the appellate] court . . .”).  
28 Having reviewed the file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by

1 the record and by the proper analysis.

2 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

3 1. The findings and recommendations filed November 8, 2021, are adopted in full;

4 2. This action is dismissed for failure to prosecute, failure to comply with court orders,  
5 and failure to state a claim for the reasons set forth in the April 30, 2021 order; and

6 3. The Clerk of Court is directed to close the case.

7  
8 Dated: December 22, 2021

/s/ John A. Mendez

---

9 THE HONORABLE JOHN A. MENDEZ  
10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28