1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	NICHOLAS VOVOS,	No. 2:21-CV-0837-KJM-DMC-P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	V.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	D. MARTINEZ, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Plaintiff, a prisoner proceeding pro se, brings this civil rights action under	
18	42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge as provided by	
19	Eastern District of California local rules.	
20	On September 8, 2021, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations,	
21	which were served on the parties and which contained notice that the parties may file objections	
22	within the time specified therein. No objections to the findings and recommendations have been	
23	filed.	
24	The court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. See Orand v. United	
25	States, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are	
26	reviewed de novo. See Robbins v. Carey, 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007) ("[D]eterminations	
27	of law by the magistrate judge are reviewed de novo by both the district court and [the appellate]	
28	/////	
		1

1	court "). Having reviewed the file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be	
2	supported by the record and by the proper analysis.	
3	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
4	1. The findings and recommendations filed September 8, 2021, are adopted in	
5	full;	
6	2. This action shall proceed on plaintiff's first claim against defendant	
7	Martinez;	
8	3. Plaintiff's second claim and all remaining defendants are dismissed for	
9	failure to state a claim; and	
10	4. This matter is referred back to the assigned magistrate judge for all further	
11	proceedings.	
12	DATED: May 23, 2022.	
13	$I \cap A \cap A \cap A$	
14	CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25 26		
26 27		
27 28		
20	2	