8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
ANGEL HURTADO,	Case No. 2:21-cv-00888-JDP (PC)
Plaintiff,	ORDER DIRECTING THE CLERK OF COURT TO ASSIGN A DISTRICT JUDGE
	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
SYLVAN, Defendant.	THAT THIS ACTION BE DISMISSED FOR FAILURE TO PROSECUTE AND FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH COURT ORDERS
	OBJECTIONS DUE WITHIN FOURTEEN DAYS
On February 1, 2023, I issued an order setting an initial scheduling conference for March	
9, 2023, and directing the parties to file status reports by no later than February 23, 2023.	
ECF No. 17. Defendant timely submitted a status report, but plaintiff did not file a report.	
Accordingly, on March 7, 2023, I continued the initial scheduling conference to April 13, 2023,	
and ordered plaintiff to show cause by March 30, 2023 why this action should not be dismissed	
for failure to prosecute and failure to comply with court orders. ECF No. 19. In that order, I also	
directed him to file a status report by no later than March 30, 2023. <i>Id.</i> I warned plaintiff that	
failure to comply with the March 7 order may result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed.	
dismissed.	
	ANGEL HURTADO, Plaintiff, v. SYLVAN, Defendant. On February 1, 2023, I issued an order 9, 2023, and directing the parties to file status ECF No. 17. Defendant timely submitted a search and ordered plaintiff to show cause by March for failure to prosecute and failure to comply directed him to file a status report by no later

The deadline has passed, and plaintiff has neither filed a status report nor otherwise responded to the March 7, 2023 order.

Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED that the Clerk of Court randomly assign a district judge to this matter.

Further, it is hereby RECOMMENDED that:

- 1. This action be dismissed for failure to prosecute and failure to comply with court orders, for the reasons set forth in the March 7, 2023 order.
 - 2. The Clerk of Court be directed to close the case.

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Any response to the objections shall be served and filed within fourteen days after service of the objections. The parties are advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. *Turner v. Duncan*, 158 F.3d 449, 455 (9th Cir. 1998); *Martinez v. Ylst*, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 6, 2023

JERÉMY D. PETERSON

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE