

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

LLOYD THOMAS BERNHARD, II, et al.,)	Case No. 2:21-cv-00172 JAM DB (PS)
)	
Plaintiffs,)	
)	
v.)	
)	
COUNTY OF SAN JOAQUIN, et al.,)	<u>RELATED CASE ORDER</u>
)	
Defendants.)	

LLOYD THOMAS BERNHARD, II, et al.,)	Case No.: 2:21-cv-00948 JAM DB (PS)
)	
Plaintiffs,)	
)	
v.)	
)	
COUNTY OF SAN JOAQUIN, et al.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

Examination of the above-entitled actions reveals that these actions are related within the meaning of Local Rule 123 (E.D. Cal. 2005). Accordingly, the assignment of the matters to the same judge and magistrate judge is likely to affect a substantial savings of judicial effort and is also likely to be convenient for the parties.

1 The parties should be aware that relating the cases under
2 Local Rule 123 merely has the result that these actions are
3 assigned to the same judge and magistrate judge; no consolidation
4 of the actions is effected.

5 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the actions denominated
6 2:21-cv-00172 JAM DB (PS) and 2:21-cv-00948 JAM DB (PS) be related
7 within the meaning of Local Rule 123 (E.D. Cal. 2005).

8 IT IS SO ORDERED.

9
10 Dated: May 17, 2022

/s/ John A. Mendez

11 THE HONORABLE JOHN A. MENDEZ
12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28