1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	DAVOOD KHADEMI,	No. 2:21-cv-00966-DAD-DMC (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	
13	V.	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS AND DISMISSING
14	ROSEVILLE POLICE DEPARTMENT,	THIS ACTION
15	Defendant.	(Doc. No. 31)
16		
17	Plaintiff Davood Khademi is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in	
18	this civil rights action brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This matter was referred to a United	
19	States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
20	On January 25, 2023, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations	
21	recommending that this action be dismissed, without prejudice, due to plaintiff's failure to	
22	prosecute this action and failure to obey court orders. (Doc. No. 31.) The pending findings and	
23	recommendations were served on plaintiff by mail at his address of record and contained notice	
24	that any objections thereto were to be filed within fourteen (14) days after service. (Id. at 2.) To	
25	The service copy of the findings and recommendations was returned to the court marked as "Undeliverable. Not at facility." Thus, plaintiff was required to file a notice of his change of address with the court no later than April 13, 2023. Indeed, the service copies of several of the court's orders have been returned to the court marked as "Undeliverable," and plaintiff was first required to update his address by October 24, 2022 and failed to do so. To date, plaintiff has still not filed a notice of his change of address or otherwise communicated with the court.	
26		
27		
28		

date, no objections to the findings and recommendations have been filed, and the time in which to do so has now passed. In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this court has conducted a de novo review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the court concludes that the findings and recommendations are supported by the record and by proper analysis. Accordingly: 1. The findings and recommendations issued on January 25, 2023 (Doc. No. 31) are adopted in full; 2. This action is dismissed, without prejudice, due to plaintiff's failure to prosecute this action and failure to obey court orders; and 3. The Clerk of the Court is directed to close this case. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: May 17, 2023