1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 ROBERT EUGENE ROJAS, No. 2:21-cv-00967-TLN-CKD 12 Plaintiff. 13 **ORDER** v. 14 FAIRFIELD SUPERIOR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE, 15 Defendant. 16 17 Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action seeking relief 18 under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 19 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302. 20 On November 2, 2021, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein 21 which were served on Plaintiff and which contained notice to Plaintiff that any objections to the 22 findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. (ECF No. 11.) Plaintiff has 23 not filed objections to the findings and recommendations. 24 Although it appears from the file that Plaintiff's copy of the findings and 25 recommendations was returned, Plaintiff was properly served. It is the Plaintiff's responsibility to 26 keep the Court apprised of his current address at all times. Pursuant to Local Rule 182(f), service 27 of documents at the record address of the party is fully effective. 28 1

The Court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. *See Orand v. United States*, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed *de novo*. *See Britt v. Simi Valley Unified School Dist.*, 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir. 1983). Having reviewed the file, the Court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by the magistrate judge's analysis.

## Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. The findings and recommendations filed November 2, 2021, (ECF No. 11) are adopted in full; and
- 2. This action is dismissed without prejudice for failure to prosecute. *See* Local Rule 183(b).

DATED: January 6, 2022

Troy L. Nunley

United States District Judge