

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ARMOND SARKIS.

Plaintiff.

V_a

YOLO COUNTY PUBLIC AGENCY
RISK MANAGEMENT INSURANCE
AUTHORITY, *et al.*

Defendants.

Case No. 2:21-cv-01097-TLN-JDP (PS)

ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR
PERMISSION FOR ELECTRONIC CASE
FILING

ECF No. 9

18 Plaintiff moves to participate in electronic case filing. ECF No. 9. Generally, “any person
19 appearing pro se may not utilize electronic filing except with permission of the assigned Judge or
20 Magistrate Judge.” Local Rule 133(b)(2). Plaintiff’s motion does not provide good cause for a
21 departure from the normal filing procedure for unrepresented litigants. If the case proceeds and
22 plaintiff has not abused the filing procedures, the court may entertain another motion. At the
23 current stage, however, plaintiff’s motion for electronic filing is denied without prejudice. ECF
24 No. 9.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 5, 2022

Jeremy Peterson
JEREMY D. PETERSON

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28