

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
10

11 ARMOND SARKIS,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 YOLO COUNTY PUBLIC AGENCY  
15 RISK MANAGEMENT INSURANCE  
AUTHORITY, *et al.*,

16 Defendants.  
17

Case No. 2:21-cv-01097-TLN-JDP (PS)

ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR  
PERMISSION FOR ELECTRONIC CASE  
FILING

ECF No. 9

18 Plaintiff moves to participate in electronic case filing. ECF No. 9. Generally, “any person  
19 appearing pro se may not utilize electronic filing except with permission of the assigned Judge or  
20 Magistrate Judge.” Local Rule 133(b)(2). Plaintiff’s motion does not provide good cause for a  
21 departure from the normal filing procedure for unrepresented litigants. If the case proceeds and  
22 plaintiff has not abused the filing procedures, the court may entertain another motion. At the  
23 current stage, however, plaintiff’s motion for electronic filing is denied without prejudice. ECF  
24 No. 9.

25 IT IS SO ORDERED.  
26

27 Dated: May 5, 2022  
28

  
JEREMY D. PETERSON

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE