	Case 2:21-cv-01254-KJM-CKD Documer	nt 12 Filed 12/06/22 Page 1 of 2	
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	FINLEY B. FULTZ,	No. 2:21-cv-1254 KJM CKD P	
12	Petitioner,		
13	V.	<u>ORDER</u>	
14	STATE OF CALIFORNIA,		
15	Respondent.		
16			
17	Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed an application for a writ of habeas		
18	corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge as		
19	provided by 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.		
20	On September 15, 2022, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations, which		
21	were served on petitioner and which contained notice to petitioner that any objections to the		
22	findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Petitioner has filed		
23	objections to the findings and recommendations.		
24	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 304, this		
25	court has conducted a <i>de novo</i> review of this case. Having reviewed the file, the court finds the		
26	findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by the proper analysis. As the		
27	Magistrate Judge correctly explained, this court must refrain from adjudicating petitioner's claims		
28	because criminal proceedings are ongoing in state court, and adjudicating his petition would		
		1	

## Case 2:21-cv-01254-KJM-CKD Document 12 Filed 12/06/22 Page 2 of 2

1	interfere with that prosecution in a way the Supreme Court forbids. See F&Rs at 3-4 (citing	
2	Younger v. Harris, 401 U.S. 37, 45–46 (1971)). The dismissal order at the basis of petitioner's	
3	challenge has also now been vacated. See F&Rs at 4 (citing Suppl. Info. at 11, ECF No. 8); see	
4	also People v. Fultz, 69 Cal. App. 5th 395 (2021).	
5	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
6	1. The findings and recommendations filed September 15, 2022, are adopted in full;	
7	2. Petitioner's application for a writ of habeas corpus is summarily dismissed without	
8	prejudice based on the Younger abstention doctrine;	
9	3. This case is closed; and	
10	4. The court declines to issue the certificate of appealability referenced in 28 U.S.C.	
11	§ 2253.	
12	DATED: December 5, 2022.	
13	INA MARIA	
14	CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24 25		
25 26		
20 27		
27		
20	2	