UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
FOR THE EASTERN I	DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
MARTIN LEE FOSTER,	No. 2:21-cv-01287-KJM-CKD
Plaintiff,	
V.	ORDER
STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF DEVELOPMENTAL SERVICES,	
Defendant.	
Plaintiff, a county inmate proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action seeking relief	
under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge as provided	
by 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
On November 16, 2021, the magistrate	e judge filed findings and recommendations, which
were served on plaintiff and which contained	notice to plaintiff that any objections to the findings
and recommendations were to be filed within	fourteen days. Plaintiff has not filed objections to
the findings and recommendations.	
The court presumes that any findings of	of fact are correct. See Orand v. United States, 602
F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed de novo.	
See Robbins v. Carey, 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007) ("[D]eterminations of law by the	
magistrate judge are reviewed de novo by both	h the district court and [the appellate] court").
	1
	FOR THE EASTERN I MARTIN LEE FOSTER, Plaintiff, v. STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF DEVELOPMENTAL SERVICES, Defendant. Plaintiff, a county inmate proceeding p under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was refer by 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 3 On November 16, 2021, the magistrate were served on plaintiff and which contained and recommendations were to be filed within the findings and recommendations. The court presumes that any findings of F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate <i>See Robbins v. Carey</i> , 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9

1	Having reviewed the file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by
2	the record and by the proper analysis
3	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
4	1. The findings and recommendations filed November 16, 2021, are adopted in full;
5	2. Plaintiff's complaint is dismissed without leave to amend; and,
6	3. The Clerk of Court is directed to close this case.
7	DATED: May 23, 2022.
8	100 a a a
9	CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16 17	
17 18	
18	
20	
20	
21	
22	
24	
25	
26	
20	
28	
2	2