ľ

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	RAYMOND ALFORD BRADFORD,	No. 2:21-cv-1411 KJM CKD P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	S. DEJESUS, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action seeking relief	
18	under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge as provided	
19	by 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
20	On November 15, 2021, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations, which	
21	were served on plaintiff and which contained notice to plaintiff that any objections to the findings	
22	and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Plaintiff has not filed objections to	
23	the findings and recommendations.	
24	The court presumes that any findings	of fact are correct. See Orand v. United States, 602
25	F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed de novo.	
26	See Britt v. Simi Valley Unified School Dist., 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir. 1983). Having reviewed	
27	the file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by	
28	the magistrate judge's analysis.	
		1

1	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
2	1. The findings and recommendations filed November 15, 2021 are adopted in full; and	
3	2. This action is dismissed without prejudice.	
4	DATED: December 16, 2021.	
5	CHIEF FOUTED STATES DISTRICT HIDCE	
6	CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25 26		
26 27		
27 28		
20	2	