prejudice. That extended deadline also passed, with no response from plaintiff. Accordingly, the undersigned now recommends dismissing this case with prejudice under Rule 41(b).

27

28

25

26

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Because plaintiff is self-represented, the case is referred to the undersigned for all pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C § 636 and Local Rule 302(c)(21).

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b), where that plaintiff fails to prosecute his or her case or fails to comply with the court's orders, the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, or the court's local rules. See Chambers v. NASCO, Inc., 501 U.S. 32, 44 (1991) (recognizing that a court "may act sua sponte to dismiss a suit for failure to prosecute"); Hells Canyon Preservation Council v. U.S. Forest Serv., 403 F.3d 683, 689 (9th Cir. 2005) (approving sua sponte dismissals under Rule 41(b)).

A district court may impose sanctions, including involuntary dismissal of a plaintiff's case

After weighing the five factors for determining whether to involuntarily dismiss a case, the court finds that dismissal is warranted. See Ferdik v. Bonzelet, 963 F.2d 1258, 1260-61 (9th Cir. 1992). This case is being delayed by plaintiff's failure to prosecute, and any defendants against whom a claim might be brought are being deprived of an opportunity to understand that claim and prepare their defense. Without any action by plaintiff, the case may linger on the court's docket indefinitely. Plaintiff's failure to respond in any way to the court's orders leaves little alternative but to recommend dismissal.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that:

- 1. Plaintiff's claims be DISMISSED with prejudice pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b); and
- 2. The Clerk of Court be directed to close this case.

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen (14) days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections with the court. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Turner v. Duncan, 158 F.3d 449, 455 (9th Cir. 1998); Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153, 1156-57 (9th Cir. 1991).

Dated: December 8, 2021

28

26

durl.1495

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

27