1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 DAVOOD KHADEMI, No. 2:21-cv-1498 KJM DB P 12 Plaintiff, 13 **ORDER** v. 14 SOUTH PLACER CO. JAIL, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 17 Plaintiff is proceeding pro se with a civil rights action under 42 U.S.C. §1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge as provided by 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and 18 19 Local Rule 302. 20 On March 9, 2022, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations, which were 21 served on plaintiff and which contained notice to plaintiff that any objections to the findings and 22 recommendations were to be filed within thirty days. Plaintiff has not filed objections to the 23 findings and recommendations. 24 The court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. See Orand v. United States, 25 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed 26 de novo. See Robbins v. Carey, 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007) ("[D]eterminations of law 27 by the magistrate judge are reviewed de novo by both the district court and [the appellate] court ///// 28 1

...."). Having reviewed the file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by the proper analysis.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. The findings and recommendations filed March 9, 2022, are adopted in full;
- 2. Plaintiff's motion for preliminary injunctive relief (ECF No. 16) is denied; and
- 3. This matter is referred back to the assigned magistrate judge for all further pretrial proceedings.

DATED: June 28, 2022.

CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE