

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
10

11 ANTOINE DESHAWN BARNES,

12 Petitioner,

13 v.

14 SUPREME COURT JUDGES, et al.,

15 Respondents.  
16

No. 2:21-cv-01649 JAM GGH P

ORDER

17 Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed an application for a writ of habeas  
18 corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate  
19 Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

20 On October 21, 2021, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein  
21 which were served on petitioner and which contained notice to petitioner that any objections to  
22 the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. ECF No. 5. Petitioner  
23 has filed objections to the findings and recommendations. ECF No. 8.

24 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 304, this  
25 court has conducted a de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the  
26 court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper  
27 analysis.

28 /////

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. The findings and recommendations filed October 21, 2021, are adopted in full;
2. Petitioner's application for a writ of habeas corpus is summarily dismissed; and
3. The court declines to issue the certificate of appealability referenced in 28 U.S.C. § 2253.

Dated: December 20, 2021

/s/ John A. Mendez

---

THE HONORABLE JOHN A. MENDEZ  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE