1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 DARRYL DUNSMORE, No. 2:21-cv-1711 TLN AC P 12 Plaintiff. 13 FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS v. 14 STATE OF CALIFORNIA, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 17 By order filed December 15, 2022, the complaint was screened and found to not state a claim for relief. ECF No. 8. Plaintiff was given thirty days to file an amended complaint and 18 19 cautioned that failure to do so would result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed. Id. 20 at 7. After plaintiff failed to file an amended complaint, he was given an additional twenty-one 21 days to amend the complaint and warned that failure to do so would result in a recommendation 22 that this action be dismissed without further warning. ECF No. 11. Twenty-one days have now 23 passed, and plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint or otherwise responded to the court's 24 order. 25 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that the complaint be dismissed for 26 failure to state a claim for the reasons set forth in the December 15, 2022 Screening Order (ECF 27 No. 8). See L.R. 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b); 28 U.S.C. § 1915A. 28 These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge 1

1	assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days
2	after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections
3	with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned
4	"Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that
5	failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District
6	Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).
7	DATED: April 11, 2023
8	auson Clane
9	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	