

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

<p>ERNEST LEE COX, Jr.,</p>	<p>Plaintiff,</p>
<p>v.</p>	
<p>VASUKI DARAM, et al.,</p>	<p>Defendants.</p>

Case No. 2:21-cv-01778-DJC-JDP (PC)

~~[PROPOSED]~~ ORDER GRANTING
DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR
ADMINISTRATIVE RELIEF
REQUESTING AN EXTENSION OF
TIME TO FILE A REPLY TO
PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO
DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS

Defendants moved for an extension of the deadline to file a reply to Plaintiff's opposition to Defendants' motion to dismiss. Defendants requested to extend the foregoing deadlines by three days, up to and including February 2, 2024.

The Court has read and considered the motion and finds that good cause exists to grant it.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendants' motion for administrative relief requesting an extension of time to file a reply to Plaintiff's opposition is **GRANTED**, and Defendants reply to Plaintiff's opposition, ECF No. 43, is deemed timely.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: February 6, 2024



 JEREMY D. PETERSON
 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE