

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CAMEO LOREE GARRETT,  
Petitioner,  
v.  
STATE OF CALIFORNIA, et al.,  
Respondents.

No. 2:21-cv-01838-TLN-DB

**ORDER**

Petitioner, an inmate at Amador County Jail proceeding *pro se*, has filed an application for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

On May 31, 2022, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein which were served on Petitioner and which contained notice to Petitioner that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within thirty days. (ECF No. 7.) Petitioner has not filed objections to the findings and recommendations.

Although it appears from the file that Petitioner’s copy of the findings and recommendations was returned, Petitioner was properly served. It is the Petitioner’s responsibility to keep the Court apprised of his current address at all times. Pursuant to Local Rule 182(f), service of documents at the record address of the party is fully effective.

///


1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

The Court has reviewed the file and finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by the magistrate judge's analysis.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. The Findings and Recommendations filed May 31, 2022 (ECF No. 7), are ADOPTED in full;
2. This action is DISMISSED without prejudice;
3. The Clerk of Court is directed to close this case; and
4. The Court declines to issue the certificate of appealability referenced in 28 U.S.C. § 2253.

**DATED: September 19, 2022**



---

Troy L. Nunley  
United States District Judge