

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

EVAN CUMBY, Jr.,

Plaintiff,

v.

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF
CORRECTION AND
REHABILITATION, et al.,

Defendants.

No. 2:21-cv-1897 KJN P

ORDER AND FINDINGS AND
RECOMMENDATIONS

Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, filed a civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. By order filed October 26, 2021, plaintiff was ordered to file an application to proceed in forma pauperis or pay the required filing fees within thirty days, and was cautioned that failure to do so would result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed. The thirty day period has now expired, and plaintiff has not responded to the court’s order and has not filed the required documents.


In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court is directed to assign a district judge to this case; and

IT IS RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice.

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days

1 after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections
2 with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned
3 “Objections to Magistrate Judge’s Findings and Recommendations.” Plaintiff is advised that
4 failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District
5 Court’s order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

6 Dated: December 8, 2021

7 
8

KENDALL J. NEWMAN
9 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

10 /cumb1897.fifp

11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28