1		
1		
2		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	SEAN MICHAEL VASKO,	No. 2: 21-cv-1909 AC P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	V.	ORDER and FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS
14	AMADOR COUNTY JAIL,	
15	Defendant.	
16		
17	A recent court order was served on plaintiff's address of record and returned by the postal	
18	service. It appears that plaintiff has failed to comply with Local Rule 183(b), which requires that	
19	a party appearing in propria persona inform the court of any address change. More than sixty-	
20	three days have passed since the court order was returned by the postal service and plaintiff has	
21	failed to notify the court of a current address.	
22	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of Court shall randomly assign a	
23	district judge to this action.	
24	IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice for	
25	failure to prosecute. See L.R. 183(b).	
26	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge	
27	assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days	
28	after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections	
		1

1	with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings	
2	and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified	
3	time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153	
4	(9th Cir. 1991).	
5	DATED: May 23, 2023 augo Clane	
6	ALLISON CLAIRE	
7	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	2	