| 1 | | |
|----|--|------------------------|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | |
| 9 | FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | STEVEN REFUGIO RODRIGUEZ, | No. 2:21-cv-1958 SCR P |
| 12 | Plaintiff, | |
| 13 | V. | ORDER |
| 14 | RYAN TAIAROL, et al., | |
| 15 | Defendants. | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se with a civil rights action under 42 U.S.C. | |
| 18 | §1983. On screening plaintiff's second amended complaint, this court found plaintiff stated due | |
| 19 | process claims for false testimony against defendants Paris, Taiarol, Mamaril, Moreno, and | |
| 20 | Abdullah. (ECF No. 37.) The court further found plaintiff stated no other claims cognizable | |
| 21 | under §1983. Plaintiff was given the option of proceeding on his claims found cognizable or | |
| 22 | filing a third amended complaint. Plaintiff has notified the court that he wants to file a third | |
| 23 | amended complaint. (ECF No. 38.) | |
| 24 | Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that within twenty-one days of the date of this | |
| 25 | order, plaintiff shall file a third amended complaint. Plaintiff is advised to carefully review this | |
| 26 | court's prior screening order when preparing his third amended complaint. Further, | |
| 27 | //// | |
| 28 | //// | |
| | | 1 |
| | | |

| 1 | plaintiff is warned that if he fails to comply with this order, this court may recommend this case |
|----|--|
| 2 | be dismissed. |
| 3 | Dated: November 22, 2024 |
| 4 | |
| 5 | Ann Right |
| 6 | SEAN C. RIORDAN |
| 7 | UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |
| | 2 |