

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ERYN LEARNED, et al.,  
Plaintiffs,  
v.  
MCCLATCHY COMPANY LLC,  
Defendant.

No. 2:21-cv-01960-DAD-JDP

SCHEDULING ORDER

Pursuant to Rule 16(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the court has reviewed the parties' joint status report (Doc. No. 59) and has determined that the court need not "consult[] with the parties' attorneys and any unrepresented parties at a scheduling conference," before issuing a scheduling order in this case. Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b)(1)(B). Accordingly, the court vacates the initial scheduling conference set for October 4, 2022 and hereby issues this scheduling order.

I. SERVICE OF PROCESS

The named defendant has been served as required by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 5. No further service is permitted without leave of court, good cause having been shown under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16(b).

////

1        II.        JOINDER OF ADDITIONAL PARTIES / AMENDMENT OF PLEADINGS

2                The parties do not anticipate the joinder of additional parties, but state that they may  
3 amend the pleadings, if and when appropriate and as permitted by the applicable Federal Rules of  
4 Civil Procedure and Local Rules. (Doc. No. 59 at 4.)

5                Pursuant to the court’s order dated August 16, 2022, defendant’s response to the operative  
6 first amended complaint is due by September 23, 2022. (See Doc. No. 56.) Accordingly, the time  
7 for amending the pleadings as a matter of course under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 15(a)(1)  
8 has not yet passed. Once the time for amending as a matter of course has passed, no further  
9 joinder of parties or amendments to pleadings is permitted without leave of court, good cause  
10 having been shown. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b); *Johnson v. Mammoth Recreations, Inc.*, 975 F.2d  
11 27 604 (9th Cir. 1992). The parties are advised that the filing of motions and/or stipulations  
12 requesting leave to amend the pleadings does not imply good cause to modify the existing  
13 schedule. Fed. R. Civ. P. 16 (b)(4); see also *Johnson*, 975 F. 2d at 609. Moreover, any  
14 amendment requested under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 15(a) must not be: (1) prejudicial to  
15 the opposing party; (2) the product of undue delay; (3) proposed in bad faith; or (4) futile. See  
16 *Foman v. Davis*, 371 U.S. 178, 182 (1962).

17        III.        DISCOVERY PROCEDURES

18                Discovery matters that do not implicate the schedule of the case or that do not relate to  
19 sealing or redaction of documents related to dispositive motions are referred to the assigned  
20 United States Magistrate Judge, who will hear all discovery disputes subject to his or her  
21 procedures. (The assigned magistrate judge’s initials follow the district judge’s initials next to the  
22 case number.) All discovery documents must include the words “DISCOVERY MATTER” in  
23 the caption to ensure proper routing. Do not direct delivery of courtesy copies of these  
24 documents to the district judge. Counsel are directed to contact the magistrate judge’s courtroom  
25 deputy clerk to schedule discovery matters for hearing.

26                All motions to compel discovery must be noticed on the assigned magistrate judge’s  
27 calendar in accordance with the local rules of this court and the magistrate judge’s own  
28 procedures. The written ruling of the assigned magistrate judge shall be final, subject to

1 modification by the district court only where it has been shown that the magistrate judge’s order  
2 is clearly erroneous or contrary to law. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(A). Pursuant to Local Rule  
3 303, any party may file and serve a “Request for Reconsideration by the District Court of  
4 Magistrate Judge’s Ruling.” *See* L.R. 303(c). The requesting party must file and serve any such  
5 request within fourteen (14) days of service of a written ruling. L.R. 303(b). The request must  
6 specify which portions of the ruling are clearly erroneous or contrary to law and the basis for that  
7 contention with supporting points and authorities. L.R. 303(c).

8 In addition, the assigned magistrate judge reviews proposed discovery phase protective  
9 orders sought by the parties pursuant to Local Rule 141.1. However, requests to seal or redact in  
10 connection with dispositive motions or trial are decided by Judge Drozd and any such requests  
11 must comply with Judge Drozd’s Standing Order and Local Rules 140 and 141.

#### 12 IV. DISCOVERY DEADLINES

##### 13 A. **Rule 26(a) Initial Disclosures**

14 The parties shall serve their initial disclosures pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure  
15 Rule 26(a)(1) no later than **14 days** after the date of entry of this scheduling order. Fed. R. Civ.  
16 P. 26(a)(1)(C).

17 Any parties served or joined after the issuance of this scheduling order shall “make the  
18 initial disclosures within 30 days after being served or joined,” as provided by Rule 26(a)(1)(D).

##### 19 B. **Fact Discovery**

20 All fact discovery shall be completed<sup>1</sup> no later than **June 16, 2023**.

21 The parties do not propose any limitations or changes to the governing provisions of the  
22 Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, except that defendant believes that discovery should be  
23 bifurcated with an individual discovery phase followed by a class discovery phase. (Doc. No. 59

---

24  
25 <sup>1</sup> As used herein, the word “completed” means that all discovery shall have been conducted so  
26 that all depositions have been taken and any disputes relevant to discovery shall have been  
27 resolved by appropriate order if necessary and, where discovery has been ordered, the order has  
28 been obeyed. The parties are advised that motions to compel must be filed in advance of the  
discovery completion deadlines so that the court may grant effective relief within the allotted  
discovery time. A party’s failure to have a discovery dispute heard sufficiently in advance of the  
discovery cutoff may result in denial of the motion as untimely.

1 at 7–9.) The court has considered defendant’s arguments and finds that ordering bifurcated  
2 discovery in this putative class action brought under the Telephone Consumer Protection Act  
3 (“TCPA”) is not appropriate at this time. *See Obertman v. Electrolux Home Care Prod., Inc.*, No.  
4 2:19-cv-02487-KJM-AC, 2020 WL 8834885, at \*1 (E.D. Cal. June 18, 2020) (“The decision  
5 to bifurcate discovery in putative class actions prior to certification is committed to the discretion  
6 of the trial court.”); *Munoz v. PHH Corp.*, No. 1:08-cv-0759-DAD-BAM, 2016 WL 10077139, at  
7 \*4 (E.D. Cal. Feb. 11, 2016) (“Courts have repeatedly acknowledged that there is no clear-cut  
8 division between discovery that relates to class certification and discovery that relates to the  
9 merits.”). The court’s finding in this regard is made without prejudice to defendant seeking  
10 appropriate relief by way of a noticed motion to bifurcate. The court notes, however, that it  
11 would be inclined to deny such a motion. *See Johansen v. Loandepot.com LLC*, No. 20-cv-  
12 00919-DOC-JDE, 2020 WL 7230976, at \*2 (C.D. Cal. Nov. 10, 2020) (denying motion to  
13 bifurcate discovery in TCPA action); *True Health Chiropractic Inc v. McKesson Corp.*, No. 13-  
14 cv-02219-JST, 2015 WL 273188, at \*3 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 20, 2015) (same).

15 **C. Expert Discovery**

16 Disclosures of expert witnesses, if any, must be made pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil  
17 Procedure 26(a)(2)(A), (B) and (C), and shall include all information required thereunder. Each  
18 expert witness must be fully prepared to be examined on all subjects and opinions included in the  
19 disclosures. Failure to comply with these requirements may result in the imposition of  
20 appropriate sanctions, including the preclusion of the expert’s testimony, or of other evidence  
21 offered through the expert.

22 The parties shall disclose initial experts and produce reports in accordance with Federal  
23 Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(2) by no later than **July 17, 2023**. With regard to expert testimony  
24 intended solely for rebuttal, those experts shall be disclosed and reports produced in accordance  
25 with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(2) on or before **August 16, 2023**.

26 All expert discovery shall be completed no later than **September 15, 2023**.

27 ////

28 ////

1 V. MOTIONS

2 All motions, except motions for continuances, temporary restraining orders, or other  
3 emergency applications, shall be filed on or before **October 30, 2023** and shall be noticed for  
4 hearing before Judge Drozd on a date not more than 60 days from the date the motion is filed and  
5 on a date that is consistent with Judge Drozd's Standing Order. Counsel are directed to refer to  
6 the local rules regarding the requirements for noticing and opposing such motions on the court's  
7 regularly scheduled law and motion calendar.

8 Prior to filing a motion for summary judgment or motion for partial summary judgment  
9 (summary adjudication), the parties are ordered to meet and confer, in person or by telephone, to  
10 discuss the issues to be raised in the motion. **In addition to complying with the requirements**  
11 **of Local Rule 260, the parties must prepare a Joint Statement of Undisputed Facts, which**  
12 **identifies all relevant facts subject to agreement by all parties.** The moving party is  
13 responsible for filing the joint statement concurrently with the motion. In the notice of motion,  
14 the moving party shall certify that the parties have met and conferred as ordered above or provide  
15 a statement of good cause for the failure to do so.

16 VI. SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE

17 The undersigned requires parties to participate in a court-supervised settlement conference  
18 with a settlement judge before the action may proceed to trial. A settlement conference has not  
19 been set at this time. At any time before the final pretrial conference, the parties may file a joint  
20 request that this action be referred to a settlement judge for the setting of a settlement conference.  
21 If the parties have not participated in a court-supervised settlement conference by the time of the  
22 final pretrial conference, the court will refer the action at that time to the assigned magistrate  
23 judge for the setting of a settlement conference. The parties shall contact the designated  
24 settlement conference judge's chambers to ascertain that judge's settlement conference  
25 procedures, including the procedure for submitting confidential settlement statements, which shall  
26 not be filed and will not otherwise be disclosed to the trial judge.

27 Unless otherwise permitted in advance by the court, the attorneys who will try the case  
28 shall appear at the settlement conference. Pertinent evidence to be offered at trial, documents or

1 otherwise, should be brought to the settlement conference for presentation to the settlement judge.  
2 Of course, neither the settlement conference statements nor communications during the settlement  
3 conference with the settlement judge can be used by either party in the trial of this case.

4 Absent permission from the court, in addition to counsel who will try the case being  
5 present, the individual parties shall also be present, and in the case of corporate parties,  
6 associations or other entities, and insurance carriers, a representative executive with unrestricted  
7 authority to discuss, consider, propose and agree, or disagree, to any settlement proposal or offer  
8 shall also be present. If for any reason the representative with unlimited authority cannot attend,  
9 such a person must be available by phone throughout the conference. In other words, having  
10 settlement authority “up to a certain amount” is not acceptable.

11 VII. FINAL PRETRIAL CONFERENCE

12 The final pretrial conference is set for **April 2, 2024 at 1:30 p.m.** before District Court  
13 Judge Dale A. Drozd by Zoom. Parties will receive a Zoom ID number and password for the  
14 final pretrial conference by email from Judge Drozd’s Courtroom Deputy Pete Buzo  
15 (PBuzo@caed.uscourts.gov). Any other interested parties or members of the public may access  
16 the conference telephonically by dialing 888-557-8511 and using access code 9683466, at the  
17 time of the conference. Because several matters may be set for the same afternoon, the parties  
18 will be notified in advance of the conference at what specific time the court anticipates calling  
19 their case so they can join the Zoom at that time.

20 The parties are directed to file a joint pretrial statement, carefully prepared and executed  
21 by all counsel, that complies with the requirements of this Local Rule 281 and Judge Drozd’s  
22 Standing Order. Counsel shall also email a copy of the joint pretrial statement in Word format to  
23 Judge Drozd’s chambers at dadorders@caed.uscourts.gov.

24 The parties’ attention is directed to Local Rules 281 and 282. This court will insist upon  
25 strict compliance with these rules. At the pretrial conference, the court will set deadlines to file  
26 trial documents, including motions *in limine*, trial briefs, and proposed jury *voir dire*, instructions,  
27 and verdict forms (where applicable).

28 ////

1 **VIII. JURY TRIAL**

2 A jury trial is set for **June 3, 2024 at 9:00 a.m.** in Courtroom 4 before District Court  
3 Judge Dale A. Drozd. The parties have not provided an estimate of the number of court days  
4 anticipated for the trial of this case.

5 **IX. REQUEST FOR BIFURCATION, APPOINTMENT OF SPECIAL MASTER, OR**  
6 **OTHER TECHNIQUES TO SHORTEN TRIAL**

7 The parties have not made any such requests at this time and do not anticipate any such  
8 requests.

9 **X. RELATED MATTERS PENDING**

10 The parties are not aware of any related litigation at this time.

11 **XI. OBJECTIONS AND MODIFICATIONS TO THE SCHEDULING ORDER**

12 **This case schedule will become final without further order of the court unless**  
13 **objections are filed within fourteen (14) days of the entry of this order.** The schedule, once  
14 final, shall not be modified except by leave of court upon showing of good cause. The assigned  
15 magistrate judge is authorized to modify only the discovery dates to the extent any such  
16 modification does not impact the balance of the schedule of the case.

17 The parties are reminded that pursuant to Rule 16(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil  
18 Procedure, no stipulations extending scheduling requirements or modifying applicable rules are  
19 effective until and unless the court approves them. Agreement of the parties by stipulation alone  
20 does not constitute good cause. Any request or stipulation to modify this scheduling order must  
21 set forth:

- 22 (1) the existing due date or hearing date as well as the discovery cutoff date, the last  
23 date for hearing motions, the final pretrial conference date, and the trial date;  
24 (2) whether there have been prior requests for extensions, and whether these were  
25 granted or denied by the court; and

26 ////

27 ////

28 ////

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

(3) specific, concrete reasons supporting good cause for granting of the extension. For example, if the reason for the requested extension is that it “will promote settlement,” the requesting party or parties must indicate the status of ongoing negotiations, i.e., have written proposals been exchanged; is counsel in the process of reviewing a draft settlement agreement; has a mediator been selected.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 16, 2022

Dale A. Droyd  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE