1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	CYMEYON HILL,	No. 2:21-cv-2336 KJN P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	ORDER AND FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS
14	BULLARD, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	By order filed January 20, 2022, plaintiff's complaint was dismissed and thirty days leave	
18	to file an amended complaint was granted. On March 10, 2022, plaintiff was granted an	
19	additional thirty days in which to file an amended complaint. Thirty days from that date have	
20	now expired, and plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint or otherwise responded to the	
21	court's order.	
22	In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court is	
23	directed to assign a district judge to this case; and	
24	IT IS RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See Local Rule	
25	110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).	
26	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge	
27	assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days	
28	after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections	
		1

1	with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings	
2	and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified	
3	time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153	
4	(9th Cir. 1991).	
5	Dated: August 17, 2022	
6	Ferdall & Newman	
7	KENDALL J. NEWMAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
8		
9	/hill2336.fta	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	2	