1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	DESIREE McGUIRE, et al.,	No. 2:22-cv-00125-TLN-JDP	
12	Plaintiffs,		
13	V.	ORDER	
14	ROSEVILLE JOINT UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT, et al.,		
15	Defendants.		
16 17			
17 18	On June 6, 2023, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein which		
10	were served on the parties, and which contained notice that any objections to the findings and		
20	<ul> <li>recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Plaintiff filed objections on July 27,</li> <li>2023, and the undersigned considered these objections.</li> <li>The Court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. <i>See Orand v. United States</i>, 602</li> </ul>		
20			
22			
23	F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed de novo.		
24	<i>See Robbins v. Carey</i> , 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007) ("[D]eterminations of law by the magistrate judge are reviewed de novo by both the district court and [the appellate] court").		
25			
26	Having reviewed the file, the Court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by		
27	the record and by the proper analysis.		
28			
		1	

1	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
2	1. The proposed Findings and Recommendations filed June 6, 2023(ECF No. 35) are
3	ADOPTED IN FULL;
4	2. Defendants' motion to dismiss (ECF No. 25) is GRANTED;
5	3. Plaintiffs' first amended complaint (ECF No. 24) is DISMISSED without leave to
6	amend; and
7	4. The Clerk of Court is directed to close the case.
8	Date: August 4, 2023
9	
10	my Hunley
11	Troy L. Nunley
12	United States District Judge
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	2
	Z