1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 Michael Clark, Case No. 2:22-cv-00190-KJM-JDP (PS) 12 Plaintiff, 13 **ORDER** v. 14 Kathleen Allison, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 17 On February 14, 2024, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations, which 18 were served on the parties, and which contained notice that any objections to the findings and 19 recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Plaintiff filed objections on February 22, 20 2024, and they were considered by the undersigned. 21 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 304, this 22 court has conducted a *de novo* review of this case. Having reviewed the file, the court finds the 23 findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by the proper analysis. 24 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 25 1. The Findings and Recommendations filed February 14, 2024, are adopted; 26 2. Defendants' motion to dismiss, ECF No. 13, is granted; 27 3. Plaintiff's Fourteenth Amendment due process and state law negligence claims are dismissed without leave to amend; 28 1

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

28

5. This action is referred back to the assigned magistrate for all further pretrial proceedings.

DATED: March 27, 2024.

CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGI