

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ROBERT EUGENE ROJAS,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
SOLANO COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT,  
Defendant.

No. 2:22-cv-0574 AC P

ORDER AND FINDINGS AND  
RECOMMENDATIONS

On April 19, 2022, plaintiff was ordered to file a completed in forma pauperis affidavit and a certified copy of his prison trust account statement and to do so within thirty days. ECF No. 4. At that time, plaintiff was cautioned that failure to comply with the court’s order would result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed. *Id.* at 2. The thirty-day period has now expired, and plaintiff has not responded to the court’s order, nor has he filed the required documents.


Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of Court shall randomly assign a United States District Judge to this action.

IT IS FURTHER RECOMMENDED that this action be DISMISSED without prejudice.

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections

1 with the court. Such a document should be captioned “Objections to Magistrate Judge’s Findings  
2 and Recommendations.” Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified  
3 time may waive the right to appeal the District Court’s order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153  
4 (9th Cir. 1991).

5 DATED: June 1, 2022

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
6 ALLISON CLAIRE  
7 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28