1 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 8 9 DEPARTMENT OF FAIR Case No. 2:22-cv-0584-MCE-CSK EMPLOYMENT AND HOUSING, 10 ORDER GRANTING MODIFIED Plaintiff, STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER 11 (ECF No. 43) ٧. 12 SUV AFFORDABLE LP, et al., 13 Defendants. 14 15 16 The Court has reviewed the parties' stipulated protective order filed May 22, 2024 17 (ECF No. 43), and finds it comports with the relevant authorities and the Court's Local 18 Rule. See L.R. 141.1. The Court APPROVES the protective order, subject to the 19 following clarification. The Court's Local Rules indicate that once an action is closed, it 20 "will not retain jurisdiction over enforcement of the terms of any protective order filed in 21 that action." L.R. 141.1(f); see MD Helicopters, Inc. v. Aerometals, Inc., 2017 WL 495778 22 (E.D. Cal., Feb. 3, 2017) (noting that courts in the district generally do not retain 23 jurisdiction for disputes concerning protective orders after closure of the case). Thus, the 24 Court will not retain jurisdiction over this protective order once the case is closed. 25 26 Dated: June 4, 2024 27 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 28 4, dept0584.22 1