

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

LANCE WILLIAMS,

Plaintiff,

v.

JEHOSHUA JALIJALI, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:22-cv-00605-JDP
**~~[PROPOSED]~~ ORDER GRANTING
DEFENDANT JALIJALI AND
DEFENDANT LACROIX'S REQUEST
FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME TO
FILE A RESPONSIVE PLEADING**

Plaintiff is a former state prisoner proceeding *pro se*. Defendant Jalijali and Defendant Lacroix filed a request for extension of time to file a responsive pleading.

Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:


1. Defendants' motion for an extension of time to file a responsive pleading is GRANTED; and

///
///
///
///
///

1 2. Defendants shall file a responsive pleading no later than thirty days after the Court
2 renders a decision on their motion to revoke Plaintiff's *in forma pauperis* (IFP) status, or, if
3 granted, thirty days after Defendants receive notice that Plaintiff paid the filing fee or has
4 otherwise been granted leave to continue proceeding IFP.

5
6 IT IS SO ORDERED.

7
8 Dated: September 16, 2022



JEREMY D. PETERSON
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28